MEETING DATE: 05/10/2017

ITEM NO: 1

# DRAFT MINUTES OF THE PLANNING COMMISSION MEETING APRIL 26, 2017

The Planning Commission of the Town of Los Gatos conducted a Regular Meeting on Wednesday, April 26, 2017, at 7:00 p.m.

#### MEETING CALLED TO ORDER AT 7:00 P.M.

### **ROLL CALL**

Present: Chair Tom O'Donnell, Vice Chair D. Michael Kane, Commissioner Mary Badame, Commissioner Kendra Burch, Commissioner Melanie Hanssen, Commissioner Matthew Hudes, and Commissioner Kathryn Janoff.

Absent: None

#### PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

Vice Chair Kane led the Pledge of Allegiance. The audience was invited to participate.

#### **VERBAL COMMUNICATIONS**

Angelia Doerner

 Announced that the Town Council gave final approval to repair the Almond Grove's streets, sidewalks, and curbs. The project will be finished within the next three years with a final price of significantly less than originally estimated.

# SUBCOMMITTEE REPORTS/COMMISSION MATTERS

None.

# CONSENT ITEMS (TO BE ACTED UPON BY A SINGLE MOTION)

1. Approval of Minutes – April 12, 2017

# Susan Landry

- She is a landscape architect who represents the owners of the home next to 14333 Mulberry Lane. They requested to pull the minutes item from the agenda to thank Commissioner Burch for her additions to the staff recommendations regarding the eight trees and working with the homeowner; and also because they have received emails expressing concerns from the owner and staff after the minutes were published.

PAGE  ${\bf 2}$  OF  ${\bf 6}$  MINUTES OF PLANNING COMMISSION MEETING OF APRIL 26, 2017 MAY 3, 2017

**MOTION:** Motion by Commissioner Badame to approve adoption of the Consent

Calendar. Seconded by Commissioner Hanssen.

Commissioners discussed the matter.

VOTE: Motion passed unanimously

#### **PUBLIC HEARINGS**

# 2. <u>15860, 15880, and 15894 Winchester Boulevard</u>

Architecture and Site Application S-16-023 Subdivision Application M-16-002 Negative Declaration ND-16-003 APNs 529-11-013, -038, -039, and -040

Property Owner: South Beach Partners LLC/Cumulus Capital Holdings LLC

Applicant: Valley Oak Partners - Doug Rich

Project Planner: Jocelyn Puga

Requesting approval to demolish three existing single-family residences, remove a secondary unit, remove large protected trees, and merge four lots for the purposes of constructing a new two-story office building with below grade and at grade parking. No significant environmental impacts have been identified as a result of this project and a Mitigated Negative Declaration is recommended. Continued from 3/22/2017.

Jocelyn Puga, Associate Planner, presented the staff report.

Opened Public Comment.

#### Doug Rich

- After the last public hearing they held two neighborhood meetings and made changes as a result of feedback from those meetings, as well as feedback from the Planning Commission. They have redesigned the roof entirely to reduce the height, reduced the southern side from two stories to one-story, eliminated tall architectural features, removed the fan from the southern property line, redesigned materials and colors, and pushed the building back six feet away from Winchester.

# Gary Black

- He is the traffic engineer. At the last hearing the Planning Commission asked them to look at preventing left turns from the driveway onto Winchester, and also the possibility of a traffic signal on Shelburne. They do not recommend the left turn restriction, because it would lead to cars making U-turns when they are prevented from making left turns, nor do

# PAGE **3** OF **6** MINUTES OF PLANNING COMMISSION MEETING OF APRIL 26, 2017 MAY 3, 2017

they recommend the signal at Shelburne, because that location does not meet the criteria for a signal.

#### Karen Vincent

She is an owner/occupant at the University Oaks condominiums and takes a left-hand turn onto Winchester one to three times a day, but current traffic makes this unsafe at any time of day due to limited visibility; school children on bikes and skateboards add to the safety issue. The trees to be preserved on the site are in danger due to root system damage and disturbances of soil from construction and new pavement placed over the root systems, and would lead to the trees' deaths up to five years after damage occurs.

### Bryan Mekechuk

The applicant met with the neighbors twice but has not revised their plans in any substantive manner. He has not received a response to his January 30<sup>th</sup> letter asking for specific cross sections. Most importantly, he is disappointed that after the Planning Commission worked diligently to protect the hillsides the applicant will realize economic rents without any of the corresponding costs by taking the Los Gatos hillside views from residents and visitors and giving them to their tenants.

# Georgina Van Horn

- She is one of two people closest to the project. The building's massiveness would overwhelm the entire neighborhood. Traffic safety is also an issue with cars speeding from Blossom Hill Road, walkers, and cyclists. The bigger the building, the more cars. If there is inadequate parking, that would affect the area.

# **Andrew Spyker**

- He is concerned about the building's size and proposes a single-story. He also supports eliminating the Winchester entrance. The head-in parking spaces should be removed or reconfigured in a parallel parking configuration. Cars at grade would shine their lights into his windows, and the closeness of the building causes privacy concerns. It is not guaranteed that the amount of parking would be sufficient for all tenant use.

#### John Eichinger

- The building's south-facing window will act as a mirror during the day and shine into neighbors' windows. The view of the mountains he sees when driving up Winchester would be gone for the people of Los Gatos, but rented or sold to the tenants of that building.

### Harvey Shand

- He lives just off Dave's Avenue. He has seen a tremendous decline in the quality of life in this area, and one of the main culprits is traffic. This project would generate extra traffic on a main thoroughfare, which does no good for Los Gatos' quality of life. The other big issue is parking. There is no parking on Winchester, and the project would only provide two-

# PAGE ${f 4}$ OF ${f 6}$ MINUTES OF PLANNING COMMISSION MEETING OF APRIL 26, 2017 MAY 3, 2017

thirds of the required parking. There is not enough parking even now, and he has people parking in front of his driveway so he cannot get out because of the school and daycare center.

#### Genaro Diaz

 He is a field representative with the Northern California Carpenter's Regional Council and asked the applicant and owner if they have a responsible general contractor that pays the correct area standard wage and benefits and apprentice programs for young people to build a career, because many projects in Silicon Valley do not. They would like to build a relationship with the developer to have this implemented if the project moves forward.

#### Bryan Carr

- His home is the closest single-family home to the proposed project. He appreciated the applicant's neighborhood outreach, however he is also disappointed that nothing major has been reduced on the building, because it is massive and simply too large for the neighborhood. There also isn't ample parking.

#### John McLaren

- He agreed with the comments of the other neighbors. He believes the size and footprint of the development is out of character with the area, is too large, and sets a poor precedent for future development. Issues around the footprint are compatibility, view impact, traffic, and parking. Traffic is a huge issue in the area and is already intolerable. In the evening commute, when traffic is heading southbound on Winchester, oftentimes traffic is at a standstill with nowhere to pull into traffic onto Winchester; this needs to be carefully considered.

# Doug Rich

They did not assume any car trip reductions in the traffic study due to bus trips, so the reduction in the number of buses would not impact the traffic study. He agrees the 10-foot zone in between the buildings needs to be kept clean, and a property management company would be in charge of that. They understand that privacy is an issue that needs to be addressed and suggested they might install Clerestory windows up above as a compromise. A single-story configuration is not possible because the maximum they could build would be a massive reduction that would be down to 25 percent of the allowed intensity versus zoning. They have reduced the height of the building to leave view corridors open, although trees obscure much of the view.

Closed Public Comment.

Commissioners discussed the matter.

PAGE **5** OF **6**MINUTES OF PLANNING COMMISSION MEETING OF APRIL 26, 2017
MAY 3, 2017

MOTION: Motion by Commissioner Burch to deny an Architecture and Site

Application and a Subdivision Application for 15860, 15880, and 15894

Winchester Boulevard. Seconded by Commissioner Badame.

VOTE: Motion passed unanimously.

#### 3. 681 Blossom Hill Road, Suite 100

Conditional Use Permit Application U-17-001

APN 529-65-028

Property Owner: Linda Lester Trust

Applicant: Ling Hullon Project Planner: Levi Hill

Requesting approval for a modification of an existing Conditional Use Permit (Firenza

Pizza) to allow beer and wine service on property zoned CH:PD.

Levi Hill, Associate Planner, presented the staff report.

Opened Public Comment.

# **Dmitry Kras**

- He is the general manager of Firenza Pizza. They have been in business for six months. There would be no construction necessary; they only wish to add bottled beer and wine by the glass. Patrons of this restaurant have heavily demanded alcohol. Their competition serves beer and wine.

Closed Public Comment.

Commissioners discussed the matter.

**MOTION:** Motion by Commissioner Badame to forward a recommendation of

approval to Town Council for a Conditional Use Permit for 681 Blossom

Hill Road. Seconded by Commissioner Burch.

Commissioners discussed the matter.

VOTE: Motion passed unanimously.

PAGE **6** OF **6**MINUTES OF PLANNING COMMISSION MEETING OF APRIL 26, 2017
MAY 3, 2017

# **OTHER BUSINESS**

4.	Review the draft proposed FY2017/18 to FY2021/22 Capital Improvement Program
	(CIP)

Lisa Petersen, Town Engineer, presented the staff report/

Commissioners discussed the matter.

**MOTION:** Motion by Commissioner Badame to forward a recommendation of

approval to Town Council for the FY2017/18 to FY2021/22 draft proposed Capital Improvement Program (CIP). **Seconded** by **Commissioner Hudes.** 

VOTE: Motion passed unanimously.

# 5. Report from the Director of Community Development

Joel Paulson, Director of Community Development

 Town Council met 4/18/17; approved beer and wine service for the Los Gatos Roasting Company.

#### **ADJOURNMENT**

The meeting	adjourned	at 10:21	p.m.
-------------	-----------	----------	------

TOWN OF LOS GATOS PLANNING COMMISSION April 26, 2017

APPROVED AS TO FORM:	Prepared by
Joel Paulson	/s/ Vicki Blandin
Community Development Director	